

COMPLEX GENERALIZED GELFAND PAIRS

S. Aparicio and G. van Dijk

University of Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract

In this article we show that the pairs $(\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$ are generalized Gelfand pairs for $n \geq 2$.

1 Introduction

Let G be a unimodular Lie group, H a closed unimodular subgroup and let $X = G/H$. The group G acts on the space of distributions on X , denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(X)$. A continuous unitary representation π of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be realizable on X if there exists a G -equivariant continuous linear injection $j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X)$. The pair (G, H) is called a generalized Gelfand pair if for all representations π with the above property, the commuting algebra of $\pi(G)$ in the algebra $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{H})$ of all continuous linear operators of \mathcal{H} into itself, is abelian. This definition generalizes the classical notion of Gelfand pair, where H is assumed compact. A direct consequence of being a Gelfand pair is the multiplicity free decomposition of $L^2(X)$ into irreducible factors.

G. van Dijk, M. T. Kosters, W. A. Kosters and M. Poel have studied several real semisimple symmetric pairs of rank one in [5], [7], [8]. They have shown that all the non-Riemannian pairs are generalized Gelfand pairs, except the pairs $(\mathrm{Spin}(1, q+1), \mathrm{Spin}(1, q))$ for $q \geq 1$, see [4]. G. van Dijk and E. P. H. Bosman also studied the p-adic analogues of some non-Riemannian pairs of rank one and they proved that they are generalized Gelfand pairs.

In this article we show that the pairs $(\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$ are generalized Gelfand pairs for $n \geq 2$. This result is crucial for showing that every Hilbert subspace of the space of tempered distributions $S'(C^n)$ invariant under the oscillator representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$, decomposes multiplicity free, see [1].

We could also show that the pairs $(\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{GL}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$ and $(\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{Sp}(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(1, \mathbb{C}))$ are generalized Gelfand pairs for $n \geq 3$ applying a similar method as for the real case in [7] and [8]. The difference is that we had to introduce two differential operators instead of only one. We do not include the proof in this article.

2 Definition of Generalized Gelfand Pairs

We shall give a brief summary of the theory of invariant Hilbert subspaces and generalized Gelfand pairs, for more details see [5]. Let G be a Lie group and H a closed subgroup of G . We shall assume both G and H to be unimodular. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(G)$, $\mathcal{D}(G/H)$ the

space of C^∞ -functions with compact support on G and G/H respectively, endowed with the usual topology. Let $\mathcal{D}'(G)$, $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$ be the topological anti-dual of $\mathcal{D}(G)$ and $\mathcal{D}(G/H)$ respectively, provided with the strong topology.

A continuous unitary representation π of G on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is said to be realizable on G/H if there is a continuous linear injection $j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(G/H)$ such that

$$j\pi(g) = L_g j$$

for all $g \in G$ (L_g denotes left translation by g). The space $j(\mathcal{H})$ is called an invariant Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$. We shall take all scalar products anti-linear in the first and linear in the second factor.

Definition 1 *The pair (G, H) is called a generalized Gelfand pair if for each continuous unitary representation π on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , which can be realized on G/H , the commutant of $\pi(G)$ in the algebra $\text{End}(\mathcal{H})$ of all continuous linear operators of \mathcal{H} into itself, is abelian.*

For equivalent definitions we refer to [3] and [10]. A large class of examples is given by the Riemannian semisimple symmetric pairs and by the nilpotent symmetric pairs [3], [2].

A useful criterion for determining generalized Gelfand pairs was given by Thomas ([10], Theorem E). We shall apply it throughout this paper. Its proof is easy and straightforward (l.c.).

Denote by $\mathcal{D}'(G, H)$ the space of right H -invariant distributions on G provided with the relative topology of $\mathcal{D}'(G)$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{D}'(G, H)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$.

Criterion 2.1 *Let $J : \mathcal{D}'(G, H) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(G, H)$ be an anti-automorphism. If $J\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$ (i.e. $(J|\mathcal{H})$ anti-unitary) for all G -invariant or minimal G -invariant Hilbert subspaces of $\mathcal{D}'(G, H)$, then (G, H) is a generalized Gelfand pair.*

We shall apply it in the following form.

Criterion 2.2 *Let τ be an involutive automorphism of G which leaves H stable. Define $JT = \bar{T}^\tau$ for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(G, H)$. If $JT = T$ for all bi- H -invariant positive-definite (or extremal positive-definite) distributions on G , then (G, H) is a generalized Gelfand pair.*

Remark 1 T^τ is defined by $\langle T^\tau, f \rangle = \langle T, f^\tau \rangle$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$) and $f^\tau(g) = f(\tau(g))$ ($g \in G$). \bar{T} is defined by $\langle \bar{T}, f \rangle = \langle T, \bar{f} \rangle$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$).

An important consequence of being a generalized Gelfand pair is the multiplicity-free desintegration of the left regular representation of G on $L^2(G/H)$. So one could, more or less without ambiguity, call this the Plancherel formula for G/H . If a fixed parametrization is used for the set of irreducible unitary representations realized on G/H , there is no ambiguity at all.

Let Z denote the algebra of all analytic differential operators on G which commute with left and right translations by elements of G . Any bi- H -invariant common eigendistribution of all elements of Z is called a spherical distribution. It is a well-known consequence of Schur's Lemma that any bi- H -invariant extremal positive-definite distribution on G is spherical. Spherical distributions play an important role in the harmonic analysis on G/H .

3 Morse's Lemma

Let X be a complex analytic manifold of dimension n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function on X . The tangent space of X at a point x^0 will be denoted by TX_{x^0} . A point $x^0 \in X$ is called a critical point of f if the induced map $f_* : TX_{x^0} \rightarrow TC_{f(x^0)}$ is zero. If we choose a local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) in a neighborhood U of x^0 this means that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(x^0) = \dots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}(x^0) = 0.$$

A critical point x^0 is called non-degenerate if and only if the matrix

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x^0) \right)$$

is non-singular.

For a critical point x of f let the Hessian $H_x f$ of f at x be the quadratic form on the tangent space $T_x X$ which is defined by

$$H_x f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) u_i u_j, \quad (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

in local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) at x .

Theorem 3.1 (Morse's lemma) *Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function from a complex manifold X into \mathbb{C} and let x^0 be a non-degenerate critical point of f . There are local coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) at x^0 with $(0, \dots, 0)$ corresponding to x^0 such that f can be written as*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x^0) + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2.$$

The proof of the theorem is similar to the proof of Morse's lemma in [6] p. 146.

4 The pairs $(\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$

Assume $n \geq 3$.

Let $G = \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $H = \mathrm{SO}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$. The space $X = G/H$ can clearly be identified with the set of all points $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n satisfying $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1$.

We consider the following function Q on the space X which parametrizes the H -orbits on X :

$$Q(x) = x_1.$$

Q is an H -invariant complex analytic function on X with $Q(x^0) = 1$.

Define $X(z) = \{x \in X \mid Q(x) = z\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Now the H -orbit structure on X is as follows:

Lemma 4.1 a) *Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z \neq 1, -1$. Then $X(z)$ is a H -orbit.*

b) *$X(1)$ consists of two H -orbits: $\{x^0\}$ and $\Gamma_1 = X(1) \setminus \{x^0\}$.*

c) *$X(-1)$ consists of two H -orbits: $\{-x^0\}$ and $\Gamma_{-1} = X(-1) \setminus \{-x^0\}$.*

In order to treat the sets $X(1)$ and $X(-1)$ separately, we choose open H -invariant sets X_{-1} and X_1 such that $X(-1) \subset X_{-1}$, $X(1) \not\subset X_{-1}$, $X(1) \subset X_1$, $X(-1) \not\subset X_1$ and $X_{-1} \cup X_1 = X$. These sets clearly exist.

The critical points of Q are x^0 and $-x^0$. Both critical points are non-degenerate.

We examine Q in the neighborhood of a critical point. Firstly, near x^0 there exists a coordinate system $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$ such that

$$Q(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) = 1 + w_1^2 + \dots + w_{n-1}^2,$$

x^0 corresponding to $(0, \dots, 0)$. The Hessian $H_{x^0}Q$ at x^0 is given by

$$H_{x^0}Q(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) = -w_1^2 - \dots - w_{n-1}^2.$$

Secondly near $-x^0$ there exists a coordinate system $\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}\}$ such that

$$Q(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) = -1 + w_1^2 + \dots + w_{n-1}^2,$$

$-x^0$ corresponding to $(0, \dots, 0)$. The Hessian $H_{-x^0}Q$ at $-x^0$ is given by

$$H_{-x^0}Q(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) = -w_1^2 - \dots - w_{n-1}^2.$$

This is due to Morse's lemma.

From the properties of Q , we deduce applying [9] the existence of a linear map M , which assigns to every $f \in \mathcal{D}(X)$ a function Mf on C such that

$$\int_X F(Q(x))f(x)dx = \int_C F(z)Mf(z)dz$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{D}(C)$. Here dx is an invariant measure on X , $dz = dx dy$ ($z = x + iy$). $Mf(z)$ gives the mean of f over the set $X(z)$. Let $\mathcal{H} = M(\mathcal{D}(X))$ and $\mathcal{H}_i = M(\mathcal{D}(X_i))$ ($i = -1, 1$). Using the nature of the critical points of Q and the results of [9], §6 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \{\varphi + \eta_0\psi_0 + \eta_1\psi_1 \mid \varphi, \psi_0, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(C)\} \\ \mathcal{H}_{-1} &= \{\varphi_0 + \eta_0\psi_0 \mid \varphi_0, \psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(Q(X_{-1}))\} \\ \mathcal{H}_1 &= \{\varphi_1 + \eta_1\psi_1 \mid \varphi_1, \psi_1 \in \mathcal{D}(Q(X_1))\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_0(z) = \begin{cases} |z + 1|^{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ |z + 1|^{n-2} \text{Log}|z + 1| & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta_1(z) = \begin{cases} |z - 1|^{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ |z - 1|^{n-2} \text{Log}|z - 1| & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

If we topologize \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H}_{-1} and \mathcal{H}_1 as in [9] we have for $i = -1, 1$:

a) $M : \mathcal{D}(X_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ is continuous.

b) The image of the transpose map $M' : \mathcal{H}'_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(X_i)$ is the space of H -invariant distributions on X_i . M' is injective on \mathcal{H}'_i , because M is surjective.

Similar properties hold for $M : \mathcal{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

So, given an H -invariant distribution on X , there exists an element $S \in \mathcal{H}'$ such that

$$\langle T, \varphi \rangle = \langle S, M\varphi \rangle \quad (4.1)$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(X)$. Fix Haar measures dg on G and dh on H in such a way that $dg = dx dh$, symbolically. For $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ put

$$f^\sharp(x) = \int_H f(gh) dh \quad (x = gH).$$

Given a bi- H -invariant distribution T_0 on G , there is a unique H -invariant distribution T on X satisfying $\langle T_0, f \rangle = \langle T, f^\sharp \rangle$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$). This is a well-known fact.

We are now prepared to prove that (G, H) is a generalized Gelfand pair. We apply Criterion 2.2 with $JT = \bar{T}$ ($T \in \mathcal{D}'(G, H)$). We have to show that $\bar{T} = T$ for all bi- H -invariant positive-definite distributions T on G . Since $\bar{T} = \check{T}$ for such T , we shall show the following: for any bi- H -invariant distribution T on G one has $T = \check{T}$. Here $\langle \check{T}, f \rangle = \langle T, \check{f} \rangle$, $\check{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$ ($g \in G$, $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$). In view of the relation between bi- H -invariant distributions on G and H -invariant distributions on X , and because of (4.1), this amounts to the relation

$$M[(\check{f})^\sharp] = M(f^\sharp)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. For all $F \in \mathcal{D}(C)$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C F(z) M[(\check{f})^\sharp](z) dz &= \int_X F(Q(x)) (\check{f})^\sharp(x) dx \\ &= \int_G F(Q(g)) \check{f}(g) dg \\ &= \int_G F(Q(g^{-1})) f(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

Since $Q(g) = Q(g^{-1})$ ($g \in G$) we get the result.

So we have shown:

Theorem 4.1 *The pairs $(\mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{SO}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$ are generalized Gelfand pairs for $n \geq 3$.*

The case $n = 2$ is easily seen to provide a generalized Gelfand pair too, since $\mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is an abelian group.

REFERENCES

1. S. Aparicio. Invariant Hilbert spaces of the oscillator representation. Thesis Univ. Leiden, 2005.
2. Y. Benoist. Multiplicité un pour les espaces symétriques exponentiels. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr., Nouv. Sér.*, 1984, tom 15, 1–37.
3. G. van Dijk. On generalized Gelfand pairs. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci.*, 1984, vol. 60, No. 1, 30–34.
4. G. van Dijk. On a class of generalized Gelfand pairs. *Math. Z.*, 1986, Bd. 193, No.4, 581–593.

5. G. van Dijk, M. Poel. The Plancherel formula for the pseudo-Riemannian space $SL(n, \mathbb{R})/GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$. *Compositio Math.*, 1986, vol. 58, No. 3, 371–397.
6. M. W. Hirsch. *Differential Topology*. Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 33, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
7. M. T. Kusters. Spherical distributions on rank one symmetric spaces. Thesis Univ. Leiden, 1983.
8. W. A. Kusters. Harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces. Thesis Univ. Leiden, 1985.
9. S. Rallis, G. Schiffmann. Distributions invariantes par le groupe orthogonal. In: *Analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie (Sém. Nancy-Strasbourg, 1973–75)*. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 497, 494–642, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
10. E. G. F. Thomas. The theorem of Bochner–Schwartz–Godement for generalized Gelfand pairs. In: *Functional analysis; surveys and recent results, III (Paderborn, 1983)*. North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 90, 291–304, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.